APGov	
FRQ	

Name	
Date	Per.

AP Gov Course Redesign FRQ Templates

CONCEPT APPLICATION

Scenario:		
	<u>_</u>	
Afte	er reading the scenario, please respond to A, B, and C below:	
(A)	Describe a power could use to address the issues/comments outlined in the scenario.	
(B)	In the context of this scenario, explain how the use of power described in part (A) can be affected by its interaction with	
(C)	In the context of this scenario, explain how the interaction between (the actor identified in part A) and (the second actor identified in part B) can be affected by .	

Scoring the Concept Application Question

- Describe a political institution, behavior, or process connected with the scenario (0–1 point)
- Explain how the response in part (A) affects or is affected by a political process, government entity, or citizen behavior as related to the scenario (0–1 point)
- Explain how the scenario relates to a political institution, behavior, or process in the course (0–1 point)

QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS

Stin	nulus:			
Use the information graphic to answer the questions.				
(A)	Identify/describe the data/trend/pattern presented in the			
(B)	Describe a similarity, difference, trend (OR similarity or difference) in illustrated in the, and draw a conclusion about that similarity, difference, trend (OR similarity or difference).			
(C)	In the context of the scenario, explain how is impacted by/demonstrates the principle of			

Scoring the Quantitative Analysis Question

- Identify or describe the data in the quantitative visual (0–1 point)
- Describe a pattern, trend, or similarity/difference as prompted in the question (0–1 point) and draw a conclusion for that pattern, trend, or similarity/difference (0–1 point)
- Explain how specific data in the quantitative visual demonstrates a principle in the prompt (0–1 point)

SCOTUS COMPARISON

Sun	nmary of non-required case:	
(A)	Identify the constitutional provision that is common in both (non-required case) and (required case).	
(B)	Based on the constitutional provision identified in part A, explain how/why the factor of (required case) led to a different/similar holding than the holding in (non-required case).	
(C)	Describe an action that who disagree/agree with the holding in (non-required case) could take to limit/enhance its impact.	

Scoring the SCOTUS Comparison Question

- Identify a similarity or difference between the two Supreme Court cases, as specified in the question (0–1 point)
- Provide prompted factual information from the specified required Supreme Court case (0–1 point), and explain how or why that information from the specified required Supreme Court case is relevant to the non-required Supreme Court case described in the question (0–1 point)
- Describe or explain an interaction between the holding in the non-required Supreme Court case and a relevant political institution, behavior, or process (0–1 point)

ARGUMENTATION

SCENARIO:				
The a position on an issue.	is doing something that involves askingto take			
Prepare a response that the person being asked to take a position on the issue would take.				
OR				
DEVELOP AN ARGUMENT:				
Develop an argument that explains which of (two or more choices) is preferred because of				

In your essay you must:

- Articulate a defensible claim or thesis that responds to the question and establishes a line of reasoning.
- Support your claim or thesis with at least two pieces of accurate and relevant information:
 - ◆ At least ONE piece of evidence must be from one of the following foundational documents (2-3 from the list of nine documents):
 - ◆ Use a second piece of evidence from another foundational document from the list or your study of ______.
- Use reasoning to explain why your evidence supports your claim/thesis
- Respond to an opposing or alternate perspective using refutation, concession or rebuttal

Scoring the Argument Essay

- Articulate a defensible claim or thesis that responds to the question and establishes a line of reasoning (0–1 point)
- Describe one piece of evidence that is accurately linked to the topic of the question (1 out of 3 points); use one piece of specific and relevant evidence to support the argument (2 out of 3 points); use two pieces of specific and relevant evidence to support the argument (3 out of 3 points)
- Explain how or why the evidence supports the claim or thesis (0–1 point)
- Respond to an opposing or alternate perspective using refutation, concession, or rebuttal that is consistent with the argument (0–1 point)